

SUBJECT: WORK TO TREES IN CITY COUNCIL OWNERSHIP

DIRECTORATE: COMMUNITIES AND ENVIRONMENT

REPORT AUTHOR: STEVE BIRD – ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, COMMUNITIES AND STREET SCENE

1. Purpose of Report

- 1.1 To advise Members of the reasons for proposed works to trees in City Council ownership, and to seek consent to progress the works identified.
- 1.2 This list does not represent all the work undertaken to Council trees. It is all the instances where a tree is either identified for removal, or where a tree enjoys some element of protection under planning legislation, and thus formal consent is required.

2. Background

- 2.1 In accordance with policy, Committee's views are sought in respect of proposed works to trees in City Council ownership, see Appendix A.
- 2.2 The responsibility for the management of any given tree is determined by the ownership responsibilities of the land on which it stands. Trees within this schedule are therefore on land owned by the Council, with management responsibilities distributed according to the purpose of the land. However, it may also include trees that stand on land for which the council has management responsibilities under a formal agreement but is not the owner.

3. Tree Assessment

- 3.1 All cases are brought to this committee only after careful consideration and assessment by the Council's Arboricultural Officer (together with independent advice where considered appropriate).
- 3.2 All relevant Ward Councillors are notified of the proposed works for their respective wards prior to the submission of this report.
- 3.3 Although the Council strives to replace any tree that has to be removed, in some instances it is not possible or desirable to replant a tree in either the exact location or of the same species. In these cases, a replacement of an appropriate species is scheduled to be planted in an alternative appropriate location. This is usually in the general locality where this is practical, but where this is not practical, an alternative location elsewhere in the city may be selected. Tree planting is normally scheduled for the winter months following the removal.

4. Consultation and Communication

- 4.1 All ward Councillors are informed of proposed works on this schedule, which are within their respective ward boundaries.
- 4.2 The relevant portfolio holders are advised in advance in all instances where, in the judgement of officers, the matters arising within the report are likely to be sensitive or contentious.

5. Strategic Priorities

5.1 Let's enhance our remarkable place

The Council acknowledges the importance of trees and tree planting to the environment. Replacement trees are routinely scheduled wherever a tree has to be removed, in-line with City Council policy.

6. Organisational Impacts

6.1 Finance (including whole life costs where applicable)

i) Finance

The costs of any tree works arising from this report will be borne by the existing budgets. There are no other financial implications, capital or revenue, unless stated otherwise in the works schedule.

ii) Staffing N/A

iii) Property/Land/ Accommodation Implications N/A

iv) Procurement

All works arising from this report are undertaken by the City Council's grounds maintenance contractor. The Street Cleansing and Grounds Maintenance contract ends August 2026. The staff are all suitably trained, qualified, and experienced.

6.2 Legal Implications including Procurement Rules

All works arising from this report are undertaken by the Council's grounds maintenance contractor. The contractor was appointed after an extensive competitive tendering exercise. The contract for this work was let in April 2006.

The Council is compliant with all TPO and Conservation area legislative requirements.

6.3 Equality, Diversity and Human Rights

There are no negative implications.

7. Risk Implications

- 7.1 The work identified on the attached schedule represents the Arboricultural Officer's advice to the Council relevant to the specific situation identified. This is a balance of assessment pertaining to the health of the tree, its environment, and any legal or health

and safety concerns. In all instances the protection of the public is taken as paramount. Deviation from the recommendations for any particular situation may carry ramifications. These can be outlined by the Arboricultural Officer pertinent to any specific case.

7.2 Where appropriate, the recommended actions within the schedule have been subject to a formal risk assessment. Failure to act on the recommendations of the Arboricultural Officer could leave the City Council open to allegations that it has not acted responsibly in the discharge of its responsibilities.

8. Recommendation

8.1 That the works set out in the attached schedules be approved.

Is this a key decision?	No
Do the exempt information categories apply?	No
Does Rule 15 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules (call-in and urgency) apply?	No
How many appendices does the report contain?	1
List of Background Papers:	None
Lead Officer:	Mr S. Bird, Assistant Director (Communities & Street Scene) Telephone 873421

**NOTIFICATION OF INTENDED WORK TO TREES AND HEDGES
RELEVANT TO THEIR CITY COUNCIL OWNERSHIP STATUS.
SCHEDULE No 9 / SCHEDULE DATE: 30/11/2022**

Item No	Status e.g., CAC	Specific Location	Tree Species and description/ reasons for work / Ward.	Recommendation
1	N/A	16 Prestwick Close	<p><u>Birchwood Ward</u> 1 x Birch <u>Fell</u> The root system and upper canopy of this tree are causing encroachment issues; due to the asymmetrical habit of the canopy any reduction work to mitigate this would result in an aesthetically poor specimen which would be prone to dysfunction.</p>	<p>Approve works</p> <p>Replant with a replacement Silver Birch, to be located on the grassland verge opposite the junction of Staverton Crescent and Woodfield Avenue.</p>
2	N/A	19 Stapleford Avenue – rear garden	<p><u>Minster Ward</u> 1 x Sycamore <u>Fell</u> This tree is located at the apex of three property boundaries; the tree has had a significant percentage of its canopy removed which has led to the creation of an unbalanced canopy; when combined with poor basal unions this results in a specimen which is at high risk of failure.</p>	<p>Approve works</p> <p>Replant with a replacement Sorbus aria, to be situated in suitable positions within the grassland located at Edlington Close.</p>

3	N/A	88 De Wint Avenue	<p><u>Moorland Ward</u> 1 x Willow 2 x Norway Spruce 1 x Rowan 1 x Fastigate Yew</p> <p><u>Retrospective notice of voids work</u> These trees were felled as they had established a small woodland like copse which was out of character with the nature of the locality. Both Norway spruce were of mature age and were suppressing the remaining vegetation: all other trees had considerable areas of dysfunction which placed them at a risk of collapse.</p>	<p>Replace with 2 x Silver birch and 3 x Alders; to be located within Boultham Park, opposite the lakeside viewing platform.</p>
4	N/A	Canwick New Cemetery	<p><u>Park Ward</u> 2 x Maples</p> <p><u>Fell</u> These trees both have extensive basal decay which places them at risk of collapse.</p>	<p>Approve works</p> <p>Replant with 2 suitable replacement cultivars; to be located at suitable points within the cemetery.</p>
5	N/A	Holly Close	<p><u>Witham Ward</u> 2 x Leyland Cypress</p> <p><u>Fell</u> These trees are in close proximity to the adjoining property boundary and take up a considerable amount of the rear garden of the property; thus, prevent suitable usage of the space.</p>	<p>Approve works</p> <p>Replant with 2 x Spindle; to be situated in suitable positions within the grassland located on Holly Street.</p>